

A Unified Framework for Linearly-Elastic Flexible Multibody System Dynamics Formulations

Andreas Zwölfer*, **Johannes Gerstmayr[#]**

* Technical University of Munich
TUM School of Engineering and Design
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Chair of Applied Mechanics
Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany
andreas.zwoelfer@tum.de

[#] University of Innsbruck
Faculty of Engineering Sciences
Department of Mechatronics
Unit of Mechanical Engineering
Technikerstr. 13, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
johannes.gerstmayr@uibk.ac.at

Abstract

Flexible multibody (MB) system dynamics refers to computational strategies used to determine time histories of motion, deformation, etc. of interconnected components undergoing large overall motion due to applied forces, constraints, contact, and initial conditions. Linearly-elastic flexible MB simulations are oftentimes sufficient and are usually based on a floating frame approach, where a body-fixed frame follows the rigid body (RB) motion and the deformation is analyzed within this local frame; this description assumes the presence of large RB translations and rotations, small flexible deformations and strains within each body, and that the bodies obey a linear constitutive law.

Recently it has been proposed [5, 6, 7] and even more recently shown more rigorously [10] that linearly-elastic MB systems discretized via isoparametric finite elements (FEs) may be described fully by the constant mass matrix $\bar{\mathbf{M}}$ and stiffness matrix $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$ from the underlying linear FE model as well as the corresponding nodal quantities. Hence, the kinetic energy T and strain energy U may be expressed as

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}^T \bar{\mathbf{M}} \dot{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (1)$$

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbf{c}}_f^T \bar{\mathbf{K}} \bar{\mathbf{c}}_f, \quad (2)$$

respectively, where \mathbf{r} denotes the global total nodal positions, $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_f$ the local flexible nodal displacements, and $\dot{\bullet}$ the time derivative. Note that overlined quantities $\bar{\bullet}$ are expressed in the local frame in contrast to their global counterparts.

In [10] the authors also showed that the equations of motion (EOMs) for these systems may be described by formulation-independent EOMs given by

$$\mathbf{L}^T \bar{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{L} \ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{L}^T \bar{\mathbf{M}} \dot{\mathbf{L}} \dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{P}^T \bar{\mathbf{K}} \bar{\mathbf{c}}_f(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{J}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{f} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{L} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{c}}_f}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

and

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad (7)$$

are Jacobian matrices given by the partial derivatives of \mathbf{r} , $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_f$, and the constraint equations $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{q}, t) = \mathbf{0}$ with respect to (w.r.t.) the generalized degrees of freedom (DOFs) \mathbf{q} . Furthermore, \mathbf{f} and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ represent the applied nodal forces and Lagrange multipliers, respectively. Hence, to define a linearly-elastic MB formulation the following steps are sufficient [10]:

1. Choose the DOFs \mathbf{q} – this choice defines the formulation.
2. Define the coordinate mappings $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{q})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_f = \bar{\mathbf{c}}_f(\mathbf{q})$.
3. Calculate the Jacobians of the coordinate mappings, i.e., \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{P} .

4. Calculate the time derivative of \mathbf{L} , i.e., $\dot{\mathbf{L}}$.
5. Perform the matrix multiplications to obtain the final EOMs.

These steps were outlined in [10] to derive the conventional inertia-shape-integral/ lumped-mass floating frame of reference formulation (FFRF) with and without modal reduction [3], the nodal-based FFRF with [8] and without [7] modal reduction, the absolute coordinate formulation (ACF) [1, 5], the generalized component mode synthesis (GCMS) [2, 6], and the flexible natural coordinate formulation (FNCF) [4]. Moreover, more recently, improved variants of ACF and GCMS have been proposed also within this framework [9].

This contribution, therefore, summarizes the derivation of the unified framework and presents an overview of the ingredients, see Eq. (3), necessary to deduce the EOMs of the aforementioned formulations within this framework, i.e., a summary of [9, 10].

References

- [1] Gerstmayr, J., Schöberl, J.: A 3D Finite Element Method for Flexible Multibody Systems. *Multibody System Dynamics* **15**(4), 305–320 (2006)
- [2] Pechstein, A., Reischl, D., Gerstmayr, J.: A Generalized Component Mode Synthesis Approach for Flexible Multibody Systems With a Constant Mass Matrix. *Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics* **8**(1), 011019 (10 pages) (2013)
- [3] Shabana, A.: *Dynamics of Multibody Systems*, 5th edn. Cambridge University Press (2020)
- [4] Vermaut, M., Naets, F., Desmet, W.: A flexible natural coordinates formulation (FNCF) for the efficient simulation of small-deformation multibody systems. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **115**(11), 1353–1370 (2018)
- [5] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: Co-rotational Formulations for 3D Flexible Multibody Systems: A Nodal-Based Approach. In: H. Altenbach, H. Irschik, V.P. Matveenko (eds.) *Contributions to Advanced Dynamics and Continuum Mechanics*, pp. 243–263. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2019)
- [6] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: Preconditioning strategies for linear dependent generalized component modes in 3d flexible multibody dynamics. *Multibody System Dynamics* **47**, 65–93 (2019)
- [7] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: A concise nodal-based derivation of the floating frame of reference formulation for displacement-based solid finite elements: Avoiding inertia shape integrals. *Multibody System Dynamics* **49**, 291–313 (2020)
- [8] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: The nodal-based floating frame of reference formulation with modal reduction: How to calculate the invariants without a lumped mass approximation. *Acta Mechanica* **232**, 835–851 (2021)
- [9] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: An Improved Variant of the Absolute Coordinate Formulation (ACF) and Generalized Component Mode Synthesis (GCMS). *Multibody System Dynamics* (2022). Under review since March 15, 2022
- [10] Zwölfer, A., Gerstmayr, J.: State of the Art and Unification of Corotational Formulations for Flexible Multibody Dynamics Systems. *Journal of Structural Dynamics* (2022). Under review since December 14, 2021